

# Columbia Generating Station Follow Up Questions

## 1. For the 2016 Reference Case what expense assumption was used for CGS?

The 2016 reference case analysis used levels supplied in the BP18 rate case per the 2016 IPR and applied inflationary assumptions for FY 2020-2030, for CGS it used the 2016 Long Range Plan (LRP).

## 2. Provide Historical budget and actual execution for FY 2016 and 2017 for CGS.

	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017
	Actuals		BP-16 Rate Case		Execution	
COLUMBIA GENERATING STATION						
NEIL INSURANCE	2,243,784	2,460,012	3,850,000	4,235,000	58%	58%
DECOMMISSIONING FUND	3,509,460	3,337,679	3,497,916	3,637,830	100%	92%
CGS O&M	252,833,857	312,373,000	255,600,000	314,600,000	99%	99%
COLUMBIA GENERATING STATION		-525,101				
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>258,587,101</b>	<b>317,645,590</b>	<b>262,947,916</b>	<b>322,472,830</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>99%</b>

## 3. Is the Energy Northwest 10-year Long-Term Plan presented the same plan presented for the 2016 IPR?

The Long Range Plan (LRP) provided in the 2016 IPR is different from the 2018 IPR submission; however, the O&M forecasts are consistent between the two plans.

This information was made publicly available on June 28, 2018, and contains information sourced directly and not directly from BPA financial statements.

