Categorical Exclusion Determination
Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy

**Proposed Action:** Reston Substation Telecommunication Upgrades

**Project Manager:** Charley Majors, TEP-CSB-2

**Location:** Douglas County, Oregon

**Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021):** B1.7 Electronic equipment

**Description of the Proposed Action:** Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) is proposing to upgrade the telecommunication system at Reston Substation. Upgrades proposed include replacement of existing digital data multiplexor (DDM) equipment with synchronous optical network (SONET) equipment. Tasks proposed include rack installation, wiring, removal of existing batteries, installation of new batteries, installation of a spill containment system for batteries, and energization of equipment.

All work would take place within the existing control house and no interior walls would be moved or altered. The project would not involve any ground disturbing activities.

**Findings:** In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy’s (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

1. fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
2. does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
3. has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Elizabeth Siping
Elizabeth Siping
Contract Environmental Protection Specialist
David Evans and Associates, Inc.
Reviewed by:

_/s/ Gene Lynard
Gene Lynard
Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

_/s/ Stacy Mason       Date: January 26, 2016
Stacy Mason
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment: Environmental Checklist
Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action:** Reston Substation Telecommunication Upgrades

---

**Project Site Description**

All work would take place within the control house at BPA’s Reston Substation.

---

**Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Resource Impacts</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance, with Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Historic and Cultural Resources</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> No potential to affect historic or cultural resources.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Geology and Soils</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> No ground disturbance proposed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Plants (including federal/state special-status species)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> No disturbance to plants would occur.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Wildlife (including federal/state special-status species and habitats)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> No disturbance to wildlife would occur.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> No water bodies present.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Wetlands</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> No wetlands present.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Groundwater and Aquifers</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> No impact to groundwater or aquifers.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. **Land Use and Specially Designated Areas**

   **Explanation:** All work within existing control house.

9. **Visual Quality**

   **Explanation:** No impact to visual quality.

10. **Air Quality**

    **Explanation:** No impact to air quality.

11. **Noise**

    **Explanation:** Minimal temporary construction noise.

12. **Human Health and Safety**

    **Explanation:** Batteries will be removed and replaced.
    **Mitigation:** Batteries will be disposed of in accordance with state and federal laws. Spill containment system for batteries will be installed.

---

**Evaluation of Other Integral Elements**

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

- ☑️ Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

  **Explanation, if necessary:**

- ☑️ Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

  **Explanation, if necessary:**

- ☑️ Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

  **Explanation, if necessary:** Batteries will be safely handled and disposed of in accordance with state and federal laws.

- ☑️ Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.
Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ Elizabeth Siping  Date: January 26, 2016
Elizabeth Siping – ECT-4