**Proposed Action:** Kennedy Tap Switch Addition

**Project Manager:** Todd Wehner – TEP-TPP-3

**Location:** Benton County, Washington

**Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021):** B4.11 Electric power substations and interconnection facilities

**Description of the Proposed Action:** Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) is proposing to install one disconnect switch at the existing tap for Kennedy Substation, which is owned and operated by Benton Rural Electric Association (Benton REA). The new disconnect switch is needed to provide reliability and to provide for line maintenance without de-energizing the customer load. The disconnect switch would be owned by BPA and located on BPA right-of-way (ROW), outside of the Kennedy Substation fence, near structure 2/6 on the Red Mountain – Richland No. 1 line. BPA would provide and install a new stand-mounted loop break disconnect switch with ground pad as well as required wood pole H-frame dead-end structure.

**Findings:** In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy’s (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

1. fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
2. does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
3. has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Elizabeth Siping
Elizabeth Siping
Contract Environmental Protection Specialist
Flux Resources, LLC
Reviewed by:

/s/ Gene Lynard
Gene Lynard
Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Sarah T. Biegel               Date: August 19, 2016
Sarah T. Biegel
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment: Environmental Checklist
Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action:** Kennedy Tap Switch Addition

---

**Project Site Description**

The proposed action would occur at Benton REA’s Kennedy Substation in Benton County, Washington. Kennedy Substation is located in a rural area, with scattered residences, agricultural areas, and a paved race track nearby. The proposed action would occur on BPA ROW on the Red Mountain – Richland No. 1 line. The project area is in an agricultural field next to BPA’s structure 2/6.

---

**Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Resource Impacts</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance, with Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. Historic and Cultural Resources</strong></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> The Washington Department of Archaeology &amp; Historic Preservation (DAHP) concurred with BPA’s determination of no adverse effect on July, 25 2016. Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Reservation and Bands of Yakama Nation and Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation were consulted; no response was received.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mitigation:</strong> In the event any archaeological or historic materials are encountered during project activities, the following actions should be taken:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Stop work in the vicinity and immediately notify the BPA environmental lead. Notify BPA project staff, interested tribes, WA DAHP, and the appropriate county, state, and federal agencies.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Implement reasonable measures to protect the discovery site, including any appropriate stabilization or covering.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Take reasonable steps to ensure the confidentiality of the discovery site, including restricting access.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Geology and Soils</strong></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> The project would impact approximately 0.3 acres of previously disturbed soil in an agricultural field.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3. Plants</strong> (including federal/state special-status species)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> There are no special-status species present in the project area.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. **Wildlife** (including federal/state special-status species and habitats)

   Explanation: The project area is not suitable for wildlife habitat; therefore, no disturbance to wildlife would occur.

5. **Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish** (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)

   Explanation: No water bodies, floodplains, or fish are present.

6. **Wetlands**

   Explanation: No wetlands are present.

7. **Groundwater and Aquifers**

   Explanation: No new wells or use of groundwater is proposed.

8. **Land Use and Specially Designated Areas**

   Explanation: The project would be consistent with existing land use. There are no specially designated areas.

9. **Visual Quality**

   Explanation: The project would result in one new wood pole H-frame dead-end structure, which is consistent with the existing use of the ROW.

10. **Air Quality**

    Explanation: A small amount of dust and vehicle emissions would occur during construction.

11. **Noise**

    Explanation: A temporary increase in noise would occur during daylight hours during construction.

12. **Human Health and Safety**

    Explanation: No impact to human health and safety is anticipated.

**Evaluation of Other Integral Elements**

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

- Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment,
safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

**Explanation, if necessary:**

☑ Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

**Explanation, if necessary:**

☑ Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

**Explanation, if necessary:**

☑ Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

**Explanation, if necessary:**

---

**Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination**

Description: The landowner has been notified of the project.

---

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ Elizabeth Siping ___________________ Date: **August 19, 2016**

Elizabeth Siping – ECT-4