Categorical Exclusion Determination
Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy

**Proposed Action:** Washington County Sidewalk Easements

**Project No.:** 20160323 & 20160343

**Project Manager:** Victor Alvarado—TERR-Chemawa

**Location:** Washington County, Oregon

**Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021):** B4.9 Multiple use of powerline rights-of-way

**Description of the Proposed Action:** Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) is proposing to grant easements to Washington County, to install curbs and sidewalks near the Oregon City Stub transmission line. The first easement would be on the north side of SW Bull Mountain Road, near structure 17/4 of the transmission line. The second easement would be on the north side of SW Beef Bend Road, near structure 18/4. Each easement would be 5-ft wide by 100-ft long.

**Findings:** In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy’s (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

1. fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
2. does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
3. has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/\s/ Beth Belanger
Beth Belanger
Contract Environmental Protection Specialist
Motus Recruiting & Staffing
Reviewed by:

/s/ Gene Lynard
Gene Lynard
Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Stacy L. Mason Date: February 7, 2017
Stacy L. Mason
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment: Environmental Checklist
Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action:** Washington County Sidewalk Easements

---

**Project Site Description**

The project locations are in Washington County, Oregon, along Bonneville Power Administration’s (BPA) Oregon City Stub C transmission line, near towers 17/4 and 18/4. This project would occur along portions of the line that are located in Sections 8 and 16, Township 2 South, Range 1 West. The sites are within right-of-way easements, just north of SW Bull Mountain Road and SW Beef Bend Road.

The vegetation along the proposed sidewalk easement, north of SW Bull Mountain Road, consists of plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*), Queen Anne’s lace (*Daucus carota*), red clover (*Trifolium pretense*), hawks beard (*Crepis* sp.), poison oak (*Toxicodendron diversilobum*), tansy (*Tanacetum vulgare*) and unidentified grasses.

The vegetation along the proposed sidewalk easement, north of SW Beef Bend Road, consists of native rose (*Rosa nootka*), curly dock (*Rumex crispus*), Queen Anne’s lace (*Daucus carota*), plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*), Hawksbeard (*Crepis* sp.), blackberries (*Rubus* spp.), velvet grass (*Holcus lanatus*) and reed canary grass (*Phalaris arundinacea*).

A review of the National Wetland Inventory, topography and aerial photos did not indicate that there are any wetlands or water bodies at the sites; however, the USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) soil survey rates the soils at both locations as being hydric. During a site visit, BPA staff determined that neither location exhibits wetland characteristics.

---

**Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Resource Impacts</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance, with Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. <strong>Historic and Cultural Resources</strong></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Explanation:** A Section 106 review concluded that there would be no adverse effects to historical or cultural resources. On December 23, 2016, the cultural resource survey was sent to the Oregon State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde and Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians. SHPO’s Archaeologist, Dr. Dennis Griffin, concurred with BPA’s no effect determination on January 6, 2017. To date, neither tribe has responded.

In the event of an inadvertent archaeological discovery, all construction work would cease, until the BPA archaeologist and other appropriate parties are notified, and suitable action is taken.

| 2. **Geology and Soils** | ✓ | | |

**Explanation:** The project areas are mostly level, but would require minor excavation and grading of soils. The grading would be to a maximum depth of 24 inches.

Best management practices (BMPs) would be utilized to prevent erosion of soils.
3. **Plants** (including federal/state special-status species)  

**Explanation:** There are no special status plant species or habitat present. The project area consists mainly of native and non-native forbs. The right-of-way corridor is routinely mowed, and managed, to deter shrub and tree growth.

4. **Wildlife** (including federal/state special-status species and habitats)  

**Explanation:** There are no special status wildlife species or habitat present. The project would have no impacts to special-status species.

5. **Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish** (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)  

**Explanation:** The project area does not have any waterbodies, floodplains or listed fish species. The nearest stream to the SW Bull Mountain Road easement is approximately 1,600 feet to the east; and the nearest stream to the SW Beef Bend Road area is approximately 1,000 feet to the east. BMPs would be utilized to avoid erosion during construction.

6. **Wetlands**  

**Explanation:** The project area does not contain wetlands. The soils in both project areas are classified as hydric; however, a site visit was completed in September of 2016 and the project area did not exhibit wetland vegetation or hydrology characteristics. The locations are immediately adjacent to existing roadways. There would be no impacts to wetlands.

7. **Groundwater and Aquifers**  

**Explanation:** The project would not impact groundwater or aquifers, as maximum depth of disturbance would be 3 feet.

8. **Land Use and Specially Designated Areas**  

**Explanation:** There would be no major changes to the land use in the project areas.

9. **Visual Quality**  

**Explanation:** The project would not alter the visual quality of the area.

10. **Air Quality**  

**Explanation:** A small amount of dust and vehicle emissions would occur during construction; however there would be no significant changes to air quality during or after construction.

11. **Noise**  

**Explanation:** The nearest residence is approximately 35 feet from the project location. Construction noise would be temporary and would occur during daylight hours. Operation noise would not change.

12. **Human Health and Safety**  

**Explanation:** The Washington County sidewalk installation project would improve pedestrian safety along these roadways.
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

- Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.
  
  Explanation, if necessary:

- Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.
  
  Explanation, if necessary:

- Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.
  
  Explanation, if necessary:

- Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.
  
  Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: The project would occur on BPA owned property and would not require landowner notification or coordination.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed:  /s/ Beth Belanger  
Date:  February 7, 2017

Beth Belanger—ECT-4  
Contract Environmental Protection Specialist  
Motus Recruiting & Staffing