Categorical Exclusion Determination
Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy

Proposed Action: Agricultural Lease Renewal at Big Eddy Substation

LURR No.: 20170028

Project Manager: Laura Loop – TERR-3

Location: Wasco County, OR

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B4.9 Multiple use of powerline rights-of-way

Description of the Proposed Action: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) is proposing to renew an existing agricultural lease on BPA fee-owned land adjacent to the Big Eddy Substation in The Dalles, Oregon. The lease would allow the applicant to continue to use approximately 216 acres of BPA’s land that surrounds the fenced yard of Big Eddy Substation for purposes of harvesting wheat. The applicant has been harvesting wheat on this land since 2005. No new use is proposed.

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy’s (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

(1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
(2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
(3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Elizabeth Siping
Elizabeth Siping
Contract Environmental Protection Specialist
Flux Resources, LLC
Reviewed by:

/s/ Gene Lynard
Gene Lynard
Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Stacy L. Mason Date:  February 14, 2017
Stacy L. Mason
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment: Environmental Checklist
Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action:** Agricultural Lease Renewal at Big Eddy Substation - LURR 20170028

**Project Site Description**

The site is located at BPA’s Big Eddy Substation on fee-owned ROW in Wasco County, Oregon. Big Eddy Substation is located northeast of the city center of The Dalles. The surrounding area is mostly undeveloped and agricultural land; there are some residences to northwest and southwest.

**Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Resource Impacts</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance, with Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Historic and Cultural Resources</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> The lease renewal would have no potential to affect historic properties or cultural resources. No consultation required.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Geology and Soils</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> The lease renewal would not change existing farming disturbance to soils.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Plants (including federal/state special-status species)</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> The lease renewal would not affect federal or state special-status species as none are known to occur at the site. The applicant is responsible for control of noxious weeds.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Wildlife (including federal/state special-status species and habitats)</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> The lease renewal would have minimal disturbance to wildlife. No federal or state special-status species are known to occur at the site.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> No water bodies, floodplains, or fish are present.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Wetlands</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> No wetlands are present.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. **Groundwater and Aquifers**

   *Explanation:* No new wells or use of groundwater is proposed.

8. **Land Use and Specially Designated Areas**

   *Explanation:* No change to land use is proposed.

9. **Visual Quality**

   *Explanation:* No change to appearance is proposed.

10. **Air Quality**

    *Explanation:* No change to the project site is proposed.

11. **Noise**

    *Explanation:* No impact.

12. **Human Health and Safety**

    *Explanation:* No impact.

---

### Evaluation of Other Integral Elements

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

- ✔ Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

  *Explanation, if necessary:*

- ✔ Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

  *Explanation, if necessary:*

- ✔ Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

  *Explanation, if necessary:*

- ✔ Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.
**Explanation, if necessary:**

**Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination**

**Description:** No notification planned; there would be no change or affect to adjacent landowners.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/ Elizabeth Siping

Date: February 14, 2017

Elizabeth Siping – ECT-4