Categorical Exclusion Determination
Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy

**Proposed Action:** Ponderosa Substation Fiber Optic Cable Installation

**Project Manager:** Courtney Kimmel TEP-CSB-2

**Location:** Crook County, Oregon

**Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021):** Fiber Optic Cable

**Description of the Proposed Action:** BPA proposes to install fiber between PacifiCorp’s (PAC) Ponderosa Substation and BPA’s adjacent Ponderosa Substation. The fiber would provide PAC with a telecommunication DS1 circuit between PAC’s Ponderosa and Summer Lake substations. To facilitate the connection, BPA would install patch panels and a modem on an equipment rack within the control house of its Ponderosa Substation. BPA would then carry the DS1 circuit across its microwave radio from BPA’s Ponderosa Substation to BPA’s Summer Lake Substation where it would be handed off to PAC. All fiber would be installed using existing conduits and there would be no ground disturbance.

**Findings:** In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy’s (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

1. fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
2. does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
3. has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Claire McClory
Claire McClory
Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Stacy L. Mason  
Date: July 3, 2017
Stacy L. Mason
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist
Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action:** Ponderosa Substation Fiber Optic Cable Installation

### Project Site Description

The project site is within the fenced and rocked Ponderosa Substation complex in Crook County, Oregon.

### Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Resource Impacts</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance, with Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Historic and Cultural Resources</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> There would be no ground disturbance or changes to historic resources; BPA has determined the undertaking does not have the potential to cause effects on historic properties.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Geology and Soils</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> There would be no ground disturbance.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Plants (including federal/state special-status species)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> All work would be within existing substation facilities and no plants would be affected.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Wildlife (including federal/state special-status species and habitats)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> No impact to wildlife or habitat.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> No water bodies are present.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Wetlands</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> No wetlands are present.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Groundwater and Aquifers</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> No impact.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. **Land Use and Specially Designated Areas**

   **Explanation:** No impact.

9. **Visual Quality**

   **Explanation:** There would be no change to the visual character of the facilities.

10. **Air Quality**

    **Explanation:** No impact.

11. **Noise**

    **Explanation:** No impact.

12. **Human Health and Safety**

    **Explanation:** No impact.

---

**Evaluation of Other Integral Elements**

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

- **Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.**

  **Explanation, if necessary:**

  - **Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.**

    **Explanation, if necessary:**

  - **Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.**

    **Explanation, if necessary:**

  - **Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.**

    **Explanation, if necessary:**
**Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination**

**Description:** No notification planned as Ponderosa Substation is BPA-owned and operated and adjacent landowners would not be affected.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/ Claire McClory  
Date: July 3, 2017

Claire McClory ECT-4