Proposed Action: Big Eddy-Knight Impairment Remedy Project

PP&A No.: 3747

Project Manager: Matt Hatley

Location: Klickitat County, WA.

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine Maintenance

Description of the Proposed Action: BPA is proposing to remedy two impairments along the 27 mile of the Big Eddy-Knight transmission line in The Dallas District. To remedy the impairments BPA would initially stock pile top soil at each location and then excavate 18 cubic yards (cu yd) of subsoil from one site and 19 cu yd from another site. The excavated soil would then be spread evenly along low lying portions of the ROW and seeded. Proposed impairment work would utilize this type of equipment: excavators, bull-dozers, and dump trucks.

Table 1. Impairment Remedy Location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structures</th>
<th>Township, Range, Section</th>
<th>County, State</th>
<th>Ownership</th>
<th>USGS Quad Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Big Eddy-Knight</td>
<td>27/2-27/3 4N, 15E, Section 12</td>
<td>Klickitat, WA</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>Centerville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27/3-27/4 4N, 15E, Section 12</td>
<td>Klickitat, WA</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>Centerville</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy’s (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

1. fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
2. does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
3. has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.
Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Laura Roberts  
Laura Roberts  
Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Sarah T. Biegel  
Sarah Biegel  
NEPA Compliance Officer

Date: September 8, 2017

Attachment(s):
Environmental Checklist
Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action**: Big Eddy-Knight Impairment Remedy Project

---

**Project Site Description**

The proposed impairment remedy would be located on private agricultural land and private rangeland in central Washington.

---

**Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Resource Impacts</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance, with Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Historic and Cultural Resources</td>
<td>[✓]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation</strong>: The proposed project recently follows the building of the Big Eddy-Knight transmission line where rigorous survey was conducted and no cultural resources were identified in the area of the impairments. BPA has determined the project would have no potential to effect historic properties.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Geology and Soils</td>
<td>[✓]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation</strong>: A relatively small amount of soil would be excavated and spread along low lying portions of the ROW. Top soil would be stock piled and all areas of disturbance would seeded.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Plants (including federal/state special-status species)</td>
<td>[✓]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation</strong>: No federal/special status plant species are present within the project area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Wildlife (including federal/state special-status species and habitats)</td>
<td>[✓]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation</strong>: No federal/special status wildlife is present within the project area.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)</td>
<td>[✓]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation</strong>: There are no federal/state special status species, water bodies or flood plains found within the project area.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Wetlands</td>
<td>[✓]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation</strong>: There are no wetlands found within the project area.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. **Groundwater and Aquifers**

   **Explanation:** Spill prevention measures would be utilized during construction activities. Project would not result in any ground water withdrawals nor provide a pathway for groundwater contamination.

8. **Land Use and Specially Designated Areas**

   **Explanation:** No specially designated areas would be impacted by the project. A small amount of agricultural land and rangeland would be temporarily disturbed. All areas of disturbance would seeded at completion of the project.

9. **Visual Quality**

   **Explanation:** There would be no change to the visual quality as a result of the proposed road maintenance.

10. **Air Quality**

    **Explanation:** Temporary dust and vehicle emission due to construction.

11. **Noise**

    **Explanation:** Temporary construction noise during daylight hours. Operational noise of transmission line would not change.

12. **Human Health and Safety**

    **Explanation:** The proposed action would allow safe and timely access to the transmission line which would help reduce outage times and maintain reliable power in the region.

### Evaluation of Other Integral Elements

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

- **Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.**

  **Explanation, if necessary:**

- **Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.**

  **Explanation, if necessary:**

- **Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.**

  **Explanation, if necessary:**

- **Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable regulations.**
requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

**Explanation, if necessary:**

---

**Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination**

**Description:** BPA Realty would make the necessary landowner notifications.

---

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ Laura Roberts  
Laura Roberts  
Environmental Protection Specialist  

Date: September 8, 2017