Categorical Exclusion Determination
Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy

**Proposed Action:** Spring Creek-Wine Country Transmission Line Impairment Remedy

**PP&A No.:** 3905

**Project Manager:** Mark Korsness

**Location:** Klickitat County, WA

**Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021):** B1.3 Routine Maintenance

**Description of the Proposed Action:** BPA is proposing to remedy one impairment on the Spring Creek-Wine Country transmission line. An impairment is an area where the distance from the conductor to the ground surface is inadequate, per National Electrical Safety Code (NESC) standards, resulting in a threat to line reliability and posing a risk to public health and safety. The proposed work is necessary to ensure the line meets current NESC and Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) standards.

The impairment on the Spring Creek-Wine Country transmission line is located near structure 3/1. The impairment is classified as requiring urgent repair; however, because of the additional time needed for further design and execution, the impairment cannot be permanently remedied at this time. As a temporary remedy, BPA is proposing to install fencing around the impairment to restrict human, livestock, and wildlife access and lessen potential safety concerns until the permanent fix can be implemented. Installation of the fence may require minimal ground disturbance such as digging post holes.

**Table 1. Impairment Remedy Location**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structures</th>
<th>Township, Range, Section</th>
<th>County, State</th>
<th>Ownership</th>
<th>USGS Quad Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spring Creek-Wine Country</td>
<td>T6N R20E Section 19</td>
<td>Klickitat, WA</td>
<td>Private</td>
<td>Bickleton</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Findings:** In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy’s (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996; 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

(1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
(2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
(3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.
Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Laura Roberts  
Laura Roberts  
Biological Scientist

Concur:

/s/ Sarah T. Biegel  
Date: October 1, 2018  
Sarah T. Biegel  
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s):  
Environmental Checklist
Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action:** Spring Creek-Wine Country 3/1 Impairment Remedy

---

**Project Site Description**

The Spring Creek-Wine Country impairment remedy work would be located on existing right-of-way on private range land in eastern Washington.

---

### Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Resource Impacts</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance, with Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. Historic and Cultural Resources</strong></td>
<td>![✓]</td>
<td>![☐]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Geology and Soils</strong></td>
<td>![✓]</td>
<td>![☐]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3. Plants</strong> (including federal/state special-status species)</td>
<td>![✓]</td>
<td>![☐]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4. Wildlife</strong> (including federal/state special-status species and habitats)</td>
<td>![✓]</td>
<td>![☐]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5. Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish</strong> (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)</td>
<td>![✓]</td>
<td>![☐]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6. Wetlands</strong></td>
<td>![✓]</td>
<td>![☐]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. **Groundwater and Aquifers**

   **Explanation:** Spill prevention measures would be utilized during project activities. The project would not result in any groundwater withdrawals nor provide a pathway for groundwater contamination.

8. **Land Use and Specially Designated Areas**

   **Explanation:** No specially designated areas would be impacted by the project.

9. **Visual Quality**

   **Explanation:** There would be little to no change to the visual quality as a result of the proposed impairment remedy.

10. **Air Quality**

    **Explanation:** There would be no long-term change to the air quality as a result of the proposed impairment remedy.

11. **Noise**

    **Explanation:** There would be no long-term change to noise levels as a result of the proposed impairment remedy.

12. **Human Health and Safety**

    **Explanation:** The proposed action would provide public safety and maintain reliable power in the region until a permanent remedy for the impairment can be implemented.

---

**Evaluation of Other Integral Elements**

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

- **✓** Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

  **Explanation, if necessary:**

- **✓** Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

  **Explanation, if necessary:**

- **✓** Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

  **Explanation, if necessary:**

- **✓** Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.
Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ Laura Roberts
Laura Roberts
Biological Scientist

Date: October 1, 2018

**Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination**

**Description:** BPA Realty would make the necessary landowner notifications.