Categorical Exclusion Determination
Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy

Proposed Action: Access Road Easement Acquisitions – 2017 through 2020

Project Manager: Multiple

Location: Multiple locations in Idaho, Oregon, Washington, Montana, California, Nevada, Utah, and Wyoming

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.24 Property Transfers

Description of the Proposed Action: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to acquire easements for access to existing transmission facilities where needed. These easement acquisitions would be for existing roads and pathways (e.g., foot trails or agricultural fields) throughout the BPA service territory that are typically being used to access BPA facilities for operation and maintenance activities such as routine inspections, equipment repair, and emergency repairs.

If any road work (including upgrades, modifications, installation of gates, or new construction) would be required, additional environmental review would be conducted as needed to consider potential impacts. Acquisitions would occur during 2017 through 2020.

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy’s (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

(1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
(2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
(3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.
Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

_/s/ Nancy A Wittpenn_________________
Nancy A Wittpenn
Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

_/s/ Stacy L. Mason_________________ Date: September 18, 2017
Stacy L. Mason
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist
Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action:** Access Road Easement Acquisitions – 2017 through 2020

**Project Site Description**

Existing access roads and pathways in urban and rural locations throughout BPA’s service territory including Idaho, Oregon, Washington, Montana, California, Nevada, Utah, and Wyoming. Existing roads and pathways could be graveled or paved; cross public, private, or tribal lands; cross farm fields; or be private driveways or a foot trail system.

**Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Resource Impacts</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance, with Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Historic and Cultural Resources</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> Because no ground disturbance or change in use would occur, the acquisition of easements would not have the potential to affect historic and cultural resources.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Geology and Soils</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> Because no ground disturbance or change in use would occur, the acquisition of easements would not have the potential to affect soils and geology.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Plants (including federal/state special-status species)</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> Because no ground disturbance or change in use would occur, the acquisition of easements would not have the potential to affect plants or their habitat.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Wildlife (including federal/state special-status species and habitats)</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> Because no ground disturbance or change in use would occur, the acquisition of easements would not have the potential to affect wildlife or their habitats.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> Because no ground disturbance or change in use would occur, the acquisition of easements would not have the potential to affect water bodies, floodplains, or fish.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Wetlands</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> Because no ground disturbance or change in use would occur, the acquisition of easements would not have the potential to affect wetlands.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. **Groundwater and Aquifers**

   **Explanation:** Because no ground disturbance or change in use would occur, the acquisition of easements would not have the potential to affect groundwater and aquifers.

8. **Land Use and Specially Designated Areas**

   **Explanation:** Because no ground disturbance or change in use would occur, the acquisition of easements would not have the potential to affect land use and specially designated areas.

9. **Visual Quality**

   **Explanation:** Because no ground disturbance or change in use would occur, the acquisition of easements would not have the potential to affect existing visual quality.

10. **Air Quality**

    **Explanation:** Because no ground disturbance or change in use would occur, the acquisition of easements would not have the potential to affect air quality.

11. **Noise**

    **Explanation:** Because no ground disturbance or change in use would occur, the acquisition of easements would not have the potential to cause noise.

12. **Human Health and Safety**

    **Explanation:** Because no ground disturbance or change in use would occur, the acquisition of easements would not have the potential to affect human health and safety.

   **Evaluation of Other Integral Elements**

   The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

   - **Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.**
     
     **Explanation, if necessary:**

   - **Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.**
     
     **Explanation, if necessary:**

   - **Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.**
     
     **Explanation, if necessary:**

   - **Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.**
     
     **Explanation, if necessary:**
Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: Acquisition of easements for roads or pathways will be directly negotiated with the landowner.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/ Nancy A Wittppen
       Nancy A Wittppen, ECT-4

Date: September 18, 2017