**Proposed Action:** Eagle Bend Property Acquisition and Stewardship Funding

**Project No.:** 1988-115-25 BPA-100596

**Project Manager:** Sandra Fife – EWM-4

**Location:** Kittitas County, WA

**Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021):** B1.25 Real property transfers for cultural resources protection, habitat preservation, and wildlife management

**Description of the Proposed Action:** BPA is proposing to fund Kittitas Conservation Trust to purchase the Eagle Bend property, which is a 40.33-acre parcel of land located 5 miles east of Cle Elum in Kittitas County, Washington. In exchange, Kittitas Conservation Trust would convey to the United States of America, acting by and through BPA, a perpetual conservation easement on the property to permanently protect its conservation values, including existing wetlands and other fish and wildlife habitat.

The BPA funding for Kittitas Conservation Trust to acquire the Eagle Bend Property, and the perpetual conservation easement that BPA would receive, would provide full mitigation for wetland impacts identified by the Washington Department of Ecology and associated with operation of the Cle Elum Supplementation and Research Facility, an anadromous fish hatchery on the Yakima River. BPA would also provide Kittitas Conservation Trust with stewardship funds for ongoing maintenance of the property.

The property is situated in a focal area for riverine and palustrine wetlands and riparian forest habitat restoration, protection, and enhancement while providing off-channel rearing habitat in a regulated river system. Key wildlife species currently using the property include: bald eagles, osprey, beaver, steelhead, spring Chinook and coho. The Kittitas Conservation Trust would develop a management plan to guide the protection of habitat and other resources on the property. The management plan would be reviewed by BPA for consistency with the conservation easement and the purpose of the acquisition. If BPA proposes to fund any additional activities on the property, further environmental review may be conducted.

**Findings:** In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy’s (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

1. fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
2. does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
3. has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.
Luca De Stefanis
Contract Environmental Protection Specialist
Motus Tech, LLC

Reviewed by:

Chad Hamel
Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

Sarah T. Biegel
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist
Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action:** Eagle Bend Preserve Conservation Easement Acquisition and Stewardship Funding

---

**Project Site Description**

---

**Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Resource Impacts</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance, with Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Historic and Cultural Resources</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> There would be no effect due to the Eagle Bend Preserve conservation easement acquisition, which includes transfer of title and the creation of a conservation easement. To the extent that future activities on the property may have an effect, it is expected that the Kittitas Conservation Trust would comply with all applicable laws and regulations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Geology and Soils</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> See explanation for #1 above.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> See explanation for #1 above.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Wildlife (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> See explanation for #1 above.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> See explanation for #1 above.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Wetlands</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> See explanation for #1 above.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Groundwater and Aquifers</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> See explanation for #1 above.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> See explanation for #1 above.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9. Visual Quality
   ✔
   Explanation: See explanation for #1 above.

10. Air Quality
    ✔
    Explanation: See explanation for #1 above.

11. Noise
    ✔
    Explanation: See explanation for #1 above.

12. Human Health and Safety
    ✔
    Explanation: See explanation for #1 above.

**Evaluation of Other Integral Elements**

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

- Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.
  
  Explanation, if necessary:

- Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.
  
  Explanation, if necessary:

- Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.
  
  Explanation, if necessary:

- Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.
  
  Explanation, if necessary:

**Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination**

Description: A public notification letter and map of the property would be mailed to neighboring landowners, stakeholders, and relevant elected officials and other interested parties prior to closing. Advertisements would also be placed in local newspapers, and information would be posted on Bonneville Power Administration’s public website.
Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed:  /s/ Luca T. De Stefanis  Date:  November 15, 2019  
Luca De Stefanis ECF-4  
Contract Environmental Protection Specialist  
MOTUS