**Proposed Action:** Urgent Spring Creek–Wine Country No. 1 Structure 18/2 and 18/3 Tower Repair

**Project No.:** 4737

**Project Manager:** Brent Thompson - TWF-SCHULTZ

**Location:** Yakima, WA

**Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021):** B1.3 Routine maintenance

**Description of the Proposed Action:** Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to replace damaged lattice-steel to include leg, footing and additional members on two electrical transmission structures. Installation would require an excavator, line truck and boom truck. Temporary cribbing may be utilized to level and stabilize line truck out-riggers. This action would occur on existing towers on land where BPA possesses an agreed upon easement with the landowner. The towers would be accessed using BPA's existing access road system and the proposed work would have minimal ground disturbance limited to repair of existing footing as necessary.

The proposed action would maintain reliable power to the region. All work would be in accordance with the National Electrical Safety Code and BPA standards.

**Findings:** In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy’s (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);

2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and

3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.
Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Adrienne Wojtasz  
Adrienne Wojtasz  
Physical Scientist (Environmental)

Concur:

/s/ Katey Grange  
Katey C. Grange  Date: October 26, 2021  
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist
Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action:** Urgent Spring Creek–Wine Country No. 1 Structure 18/2 and 18/3 Tower Repair

**Project Site Description**

The proposed project area occurs in central Washington and is located within agricultural property. Proposed maintenance activities would be performed in the existing transmission line right-of-way and access road easements.

**Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

1. **Historic and Cultural Resources**
   
   Potential for Significance: No

   **Explanation:** The BPA archaeologist completed background research and field survey of the proposed project area and determined that the project actions would result in No Adverse Effect. The determination and report was sent to the consulting parties on October 13, 2021. The Washington Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP) and the Yakima Nation concurred with BPA's determination on October 13th and October 25th, 2021 respectively.

2. **Geology and Soils**

   Potential for Significance: No

   **Explanation:** Localized soil disturbance would occur in repair work areas. Standard construction erosion control measures would be utilized as necessary.

3. **Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)**

   Potential for Significance: No

   **Explanation:** Minimal disturbance to vegetation is anticipated. There would be no effect to ESA-listed plant species impacted. No impacts to state or federally sensitive species are anticipated. Project activities would be limited to the already impacted agricultural property and access road right-of-way and would not substantially alter existing plant communities.

4. **Wildlife (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)**

   Potential for Significance: No
Explanation: In general, the project would have a small impact to wildlife and habitat related to temporary disturbance associated with elevated equipment noise and human presence. Noise generated would be consistent with the agricultural noise generated during harvest operations. The project would have no impacts to state or federally-listed sensitive species.

5. Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)

Potential for Significance: No

Explanation: The project area is not located within a floodplain and there are no nearby water bodies that support resident, anadromous, or ESA-listed fish. Erosion control best management practices would be used to ensure sedimentation into any water body does not occur.

6. Wetlands

Potential for Significance: No

Explanation: No wetlands are within the project area.

7. Groundwater and Aquifers

Potential for Significance: No

Explanation: No use of groundwater proposed. Maximum depth of disturbance would be about 4 feet below ground surface.

8. Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas

Potential for Significance: No

Explanation: No change in land use would occur and project activities would not impact existing land uses.

9. Visual Quality

Potential for Significance: No

Explanation: The project would have minimal impact to visual quality.

10. Air Quality

Potential for Significance: No

Explanation: The project would have a temporary impact on air quality from a small amount of vehicle emissions and dust generated during construction.
11. Noise

Potential for Significance: No

Explanation: There would be temporary construction noise. Operational noise of the transmission line would not change.

12. Human Health and Safety

Potential for Significance: No

Explanation: The proposed action would allow safe and timely access to the transmission line, which would help reduce outage times and maintain reliable power in the region.

Evaluation of Other Integral Elements

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

Explanation: N/A

Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

Explanation: N/A

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

Explanation: N/A

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation: N/A

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination
Description: BPA Realty personnel and environmental specialist have coordinated the proposed project activities with the landowner prior to project initiation and any concerns regarding proposed transmission line maintenance activities have been addressed.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/ Adrienne Wojtasz  
Adrienne Wojtasz, EPR-Bell-1  
Physical Scientist (Environmental)  
Date: October 26, 2021