Categorical Exclusion Determination
Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy

**Proposed Action:** Wireless Communication Equipment Upgrades at Northside Landfill and SW Kennewick Sites

**Project Manager:** Jonathan Toobian—TELP-TPP-3

**Location:** Benton and Spokane Counties, Washington

**Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021):** B1.19 Microwave, meteorological and radio towers

**Description of the Proposed Action:** BPA proposes to allow Sprint Corporation to upgrade their antennas and equipment at two existing wireless sites on BPA transmission towers in eastern Washington. The work would consist of removing antennas and replacing those with new antennas. Remote Radio Heads (RRH’s) would be added to the antenna mounts and new coaxial cable would be installed, connecting the RRH’s to existing equipment located on the ground at the tower base. To ensure safety, BPA workers and their subcontractors would complete the wireless antenna and coaxial cable installation work. The project does not involve any ground excavation.

The activities would occur at the following locations:

- **Northside Landfill:** Spokane County, WA. Section 15, Township 26 North, Range 42 East. Grand Coulee-Bell-3 Transmission Line.

- **SW Kennewick:** Benton County, WA. Section 5, Township 8 North, Range 29 East. Franklin-Badger Canyon-2 Transmission Line.

**Findings:** In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy’s (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

1. fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
2. does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
3. has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.
Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Beth Belanger  
Beth Belanger  
Contract Environmental Protection Specialist  
Motus Staffing & Recruiting

Reviewed by:

/s/ Gene Lynard  
Gene Lynard  
Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Stacy Mason  
Stacy L. Mason  
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment: Environmental Checklist
Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Wireless Communication Equipment Upgrades at Northside Landfill and SW Kennewick Sites

Project Site Description

Antenna equipment replacements would occur on existing transmission structures located within existing transmission line rights-of-way. The structures are located in urban and rural residential areas. The sites have existing access roads to the tower bases. Both have had prior ground disturbance.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Resource Impacts</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance, with Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Historic and Cultural Resources</td>
<td>![check]</td>
<td>![check]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation</strong>: BPA has reviewed the proposed activities and has determined that this type of activity does not have the potential to cause effects to historic or cultural properties.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Geology and Soils</td>
<td>![check]</td>
<td>![check]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation</strong>: The proposed project does not involve ground disturbance. Some insignificant compaction of soils may occur due to bucket trucks driving around the site.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Plants (including federal/state special-status species)</td>
<td>![check]</td>
<td>![check]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation</strong>: The project locations have been previously disturbed and consist of ornamental and native grass plant communities. The project would have no impacts to any special-status plants. To prevent the spread of noxious weeds, the construction vehicles would be required to be cleaned before entering a new project location.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Wildlife (including federal/state special-status species and habitats)</td>
<td>![check]</td>
<td>![check]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation</strong>: No special-status species or habitats are present at the project locations. The projects would have no impacts to special-status wildlife. If any active nests are found on the structures prior to construction, the construction would be delayed until the nest is unoccupied.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish</td>
<td>![check]</td>
<td>![check]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)</td>
<td><strong>Explanation</strong>: The project areas do not have any water bodies, floodplains, or listed fish species; therefore, there</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

✔️ Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

Explanation, if necessary:

✔️ Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

Explanation, if necessary:

✔️ Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.
Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

**Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination**

**Description**: The project proponent is responsible for acquiring and maintaining easements for their facilities from underlying landowners.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed:  
/\ Beth Belanger  
Beth Belanger—ECT-4  
Contract Environmental Protection Specialist  
Motus Staffing & Recruiting  

Date:  
March 6, 2018