**Proposed Action:** Communication Tower Work-Safety Fall-Protection Installations

**Project Manager:** M. Kovaka, TEP-CSB-2

**Location:** Communications sites and substations in Washington, Oregon, Idaho, and Montana

**Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021):** B1.19 Microwave, meteorological, and radio towers

**Description of the Proposed Action:** Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to install work-safety fall-protection equipment at multiple communications sites in Washington, Oregon, Montana, and Idaho. Work would occur through 2021 at the two substations and multiple communications sites listed below with their respective counties and states:

- Beverly - Grant, WA
- Cabbage Hill – Umatilla, OR
- Haystack Butte – Klickitat, WA
- Kennewick – Benton, WA
- Mount Emily – Union, WA
- Roosevelt – Klickitat, WA
- Teakean Butte – Clearwater, ID
- Slatt Substation – Gilliam, OR
- Adel – Lake, OR
- Albion Butte– Cassia, ID
- Bald Mountain – Elmore, ID
- Bennett Mountain – Elmore, ID
- Foster Creek – Douglas, WA
- Lines Creek– Shoshone, ID
- Metaline– Pend Oreille, WA
- Noxon Substation – Sanders, MT
- Patrick’s Knob – Sanders, MT
- Rocky Ridge – Sanders MT
- Swan Valley – Bonneville, ID
- Thompson Falls – Sanders, MT
- Swan Lake Point – Klamath, OR
- Miller Peak – Missoula, MT
- Lowell Butte – Lane, OR

BPA would install a cable safety system—the MSA Latchways system—on the vertical climbing path of each communication structure. An anchor support beam would be installed near the top of the structure. The cable system would then be connected to the structure with a series of brackets approximately every 10 feet and a top and bottom anchor. Once installed, workers would use the system when climbing the tower by attaching their harness to a specialized pulley that allows them to glide along the safety cable as they climb.
Installation would require a line truck and two-to-three workers at the structure and one-to-two workers on the ground. Intermittent noise generation would occur from the use of hand tools to install the support beam and Latchway system and gear banging against the steel structures. Noise would occur over six to eight hours in a single day.

These actions would occur on existing structures that are located on both BPA fee-owned land and land where BPA possesses an agreed-upon easement with the landowner. The structures would be accessed using BPA’s existing access road system and the proposed work would not require ground disturbing activities nor tree/vegetation removal.

**Findings:** In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy’s (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

1. fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
2. does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
3. has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Jeffrey J. Maslow  
Jeffrey J. Maslow  
Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Stacy L. Mason  
Date: January 25, 2019  
Stacy L. Mason  
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist
Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Communication Tower Work-Safety Fall-Protection Installations

Project Site Description

All work would take place within BPA substations and radio facilities on BPA fee-owned property or existing easements in Idaho, Oregon, Washington, and Montana. This work would not cause new ground disturbance. All project areas have previously been disturbed.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Resource Impacts</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance, with Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Historic and Cultural Resources</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> BPA’s Historian reviewed proposed activities and determined that because they would involve minor additive features that do not adversely impact the integrity of historic structures and also would not have potential to cause ground disturbance, they would not have the potential to cause effects to historic properties.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Geology and Soils</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> No ground disturbance would occur at these existing communication sites and substations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Plants (including federal/state special-status species)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> No plants would be removed at these existing communication sites and substations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Wildlife (including federal/state special-status species and habitats)</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> Unless surveys are conducted that demonstrate the species are not present near project sites, where nearby eagle nests are located or have high potential to occur, and where Columbian Sharp-Tailed Grouse lekking activity occurs, BPA will schedule noise-generating work outside of the following critical periods (Eagles: January 1st to August 31st; Columbian Sharp-Tailed Grouse: March 15th to April 30th).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sites with eagle nesting timing restrictions include: Adel; Albion Butte; Rocky Ridge; Foster Creek; Mt. Emily</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Site with timing restrictions for Columbian Sharp-Tailed Grouse: Swan Valley</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> No water bodies, floodplains, or fish are present at the communication sites and substations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Wetlands</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> No wetlands are present at the communication sites and substations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. **Groundwater and Aquifers**

   **Explanation:** No groundwater or aquifers disturbance would occur at these existing communication sites and substations.

8. **Land Use and Specially Designated Areas**

   **Explanation:** All work would occur within the existing communication sites and are allowed uses. As some sites are owned by the Forest Service, Bureau of Land Management, and state land-management agencies, the BPA Realty Specialist and Project Manager will coordinate required notification and entry protocol with the land owner/manager before work begins at each site.

9. **Visual Quality**

   **Explanation:** The brackets and cables are minor additions that would not change the overall visual character of the facilities.

10. **Air Quality**

    **Explanation:** Small amounts of dust and vehicle emissions may occur while line trucks travel existing access roads.

11. **Noise**

    **Explanation:** Intermittent noise associated with activities would occur during daylight hours. Operational noise would not change.

12. **Human Health and Safety**

    **Explanation:** Maintenance activity would improve safety of workers at BPA’s communication facilities.

---

**Evaluation of Other Integral Elements**

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

- **☑** Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

  **Explanation, if necessary:**

- **☑** Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

  **Explanation, if necessary:**

- **☑** Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

  **Explanation, if necessary:**

- **☑** Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

  **Explanation, if necessary:**
Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

**Description:** Most sites are owned in fee by BPA. Others are owned by federal (U.S. Forest Service of Bureau of Land Management) or state land-management agencies. All access rights have been acquired. The BPA Realty Specialist and Project Manager will coordinate required notification and entry protocol with the landowner/manager before work begins at each site.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed:  /s/ Jeffrey J. Maslow            Date:  January 25, 2019
Jeffrey J. Maslow, ECP-4
Environmental Protection Specialist