Categorical Exclusion Determination
Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy

Proposed Action: Stout Mountain Antenna Upgrade

Project Manager: Jonathan Toobian – TELP-TPP-3

Location: Linn County, Oregon

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.19 Microwave, meteorological and radio towers

Description of the Proposed Action: BPA proposes to allow Sprint Corporation to upgrade their antennas and coaxial cables at the Stout Mountain wireless communication site located atop BPAs Lyon UHB Test Site No. 1 transmission line, tower 1/2. The work would consist of removing three existing antennas attached to BPAs transmission tower and replacing them with three new antennas of the same height. Coaxial cable running from the antennas would also be removed and replaced. There would be no new ground disturbance to support this project.

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy’s (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

(1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
(2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
(3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Claire McClory
Claire McClory
Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Stacy L. Mason Date: January 16, 2018
Stacy L. Mason
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist
Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action:** Stout Mountain Antenna Upgrade

**Project Site Description**

The project is located on an existing transmission tower in BPAs transmission right-of-way. The site is mostly cleared, with an existing access road to the tower base. The area has been previously disturbed.

**Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Resource Impacts</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance, with Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Historic and Cultural Resources</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> BPA has determined the undertaking does not have the potential to cause effects on historic properties.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Geology and Soils</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> No ground disturbance proposed. Some minor soil compaction may occur from vehicular access to the project site.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Plants (including federal/state special-status species)</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> No plants would be disturbed and no special-status species present.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Wildlife (including federal/state special-status species and habitats)</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> No special status-species or habitats present.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> None present.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Wetlands</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> None present.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Groundwater and Aquifers</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> No ground disturbance proposed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Land Use and Specially Designated Areas</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanation:</strong> No change to existing land use. No specially designated areas.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9. **Visual Quality**

   **Explanation**: The wireless antennas would be consistent with existing use of the utility corridor and would look similar and be of the same height as the existing antennas being removed.

10. **Air Quality**

    **Explanation**: A small amount of vehicular emissions would occur during construction.

11. **Noise**

    **Explanation**: Construction noise would be temporary and occur during daylight hours. Operational noise would not change.

12. **Human Health and Safety**

    **Explanation**: No impact.

---

**Evaluation of Other Integral Elements**

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

- **Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.**

  **Explanation, if necessary:**

- **Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.**

  **Explanation, if necessary:**

- **Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.**

  **Explanation, if necessary:**

- **Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.**

  **Explanation, if necessary:**

---

**Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination**

**Description**: The project proponent is responsible for acquiring and maintaining easements for their facilities from underlying landowners.
Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: /s/ Claire McClory
Date: January 16, 2018
Claire McClory ECT-4