memorandum

DATE: October 3, 2014

REPLY TO ATTN OF: KEPR-4

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

TO: Darrell Aaby
Transmission Line Foreman III – TFRF-REDMOND

**Proposed Action:** Davis Creek Tap Wood Pole Replacement Project

**PP&A Project No.:** 2872

**Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021):** B1.3 Routine Maintenance

**Location:** Structure 1/1 on the Davis Creek Tap transmission line located in Modoc County, California.

**Proposed by:** Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

**Description of the Proposed Action:** BPA is proposing to replace one deteriorating wood-pole structure on its Davis Creek Tap 115-kV (kilovolt) transmission line located in Modoc County, California. The structure is located in a residential neighborhood.

The proposed maintenance involves replacement of the wood-pole structure, which consists of two vertical poles and one cross arm. Additionally the associated structural/electrical components (i.e. cross arms, insulators, guys and guy anchors, etc.) would be replaced. The existing wood poles would be mechanically removed from the ground using a line truck and replaced with an in-kind structure having the same height as the original.

The work may include creating a 50- by 50-foot earthen landing adjacent to the structure to allow equipment staging for pole removal and replacement. New poles would be placed back in the existing holes. During the line outage, the existing poles would then be removed and the new poles installed in the existing or pre-drilled holes. Ground disturbance for each pole replacement would not extend beyond a 100-foot radius around existing pole structures. No access road improvement would be needed for this project.

The project is proposed to begin in October-2014.

**Findings:** BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy’s (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996; 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the
environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211. Moreover, the proposed action would not (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases, (iv) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, or (v) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements.

Based on the provisions identified on the attachment, this proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

/s/ Aaron Shurtliff
Aaron Shurtliff
Environmental Engineer

Concur: /s/ Stacy Mason DATE: October 3, 2014
Stacy Mason
NEPA Compliance Office

Enclosures:
No Effect Determination
# Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

**Name of Proposed Project:**  
Davis Creek Tap Wood Pole Replacement Project

**Work Order #:** 135858

This project does **not** have the potential to cause significant impacts on the following environmentally sensitive resources. See 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B for complete descriptions of the resources. This checklist is to be used as a summary – further discussion may be included in the Categorical Exclusion Memorandum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Resources</th>
<th>No Potential for Significance</th>
<th>No Potential, with Conditions (describe)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Historic Properties and Cultural Resources</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural survey performed at all work areas. SHPO concurrence of No historic properties affected received on 10/2/14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. T &amp; E Species, or their habitat(s)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No federally listed T&amp;E species or designated critical habit is known to be within the project area.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Floodplains or wetlands</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole removal and installation will not occur in wetlands, floodplains, or near water bodies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Areas of special designation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Health &amp; safety</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Prime or unique farmlands</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Special sources of water</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Other (describe)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

List supporting documentation attached (if needed):
Davis Creek Tap Effects Determination

Signed: /s/ Aaron Shurtliff  
Date: **October 3, 2014**

Aaron Shurtliff / KEPR-4